

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/886,255

Applicant(s)

DENNO, SATOSHI

Examiner

Jacob Meek

Art Unit

2637

**All Participants:**(1) Jacob Meek (USPTO).(2) Brad Lytle (Reg.#40,073).**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 June 2005**Time:** 10:30**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26 - 29

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Needed to correct dependency of claims 26 - 29. Following amendment, they were dependent from cancelled claims. Determined proper dependency for claims. Claims 26 and 28 will be amended to depend from claim 18. Claims 27 and 29 will be amended to depend from claim 19..